Issue Brief No. 4 June 2006

he year 2006 marks the 25th anniversary of the first reported cases of HIV/AIDS. Initially diagnosed in the United States among men who have sex with men (MSM),<sup>1</sup> HIV's impact on MSM in the U.S. and other developed countries led to swift grass-roots responses from the gay community and eventually to targeted interventions from the public health sector to address high-risk behaviors such as unprotected anal intercourse and substance use. These interventions. implemented in the 1980s and 1990s, resulted in significant reductions in sexual risk and the prevention of new HIV infections in MSM.

Over time, these achievements have leveled off, and recent evidence indicates that HIV infection is re-emerging in new cohorts of MSM in developed countries<sup>2</sup> and is an emerging epidemic in MSM in developing countries.<sup>3,4</sup> This situation calls for an assessment of current trends in HIV infection in MSM and of the status of effective and promising interventions for these populations.

# Who Are MSM?

The category of men who have sex with men (MSM) encompasses a range of sexual and gender identities and behaviors among people in various socio-cultural and sexual contexts.<sup>4-6</sup> It includes men who identify as gay or bisexual, as well as some who identify as heterosexual or transgendered (such as the Katoey in Thailand or the Hijras in India). In relation to HIV among MSM, high-risk sexual activities are what transmit the virus, but these take place in social and cultural contexts in which identity also matters. Thus, it is important to understand the interaction of identity and behaviors when devising and implementing interventions to prevent sexual transmission of HIV in MSM.<sup>5.7</sup>

# AIDS Research

The Foundation for

# HIV Prevention for Men Who Have Sex With Men

#### Trends in HIV/AIDS Among MSM

Despite significant success in reducing HIV/AIDS rates among MSM in the United States during the late 1980s and early 1990s, recent data indicate that HIV infection may be resurging among this group.\*\*

From 2001 to 2003, of the 157,252 persons diagnosed with HIV/AIDS, nearly 71% were men; for 61% of these men, the primary route of infection was male-to-male sexual contact.<sup>10</sup> Although white men still comprise the bulk of new infections in MSM, the epidemic is growing fast among Blacks and Hispanics.<sup>10</sup>

Male-to-male sex still comprises the major route of HIV transmission in other parts of the developed world.<sup>11</sup> Slight to significant increases in the number of HIV diagnoses in MSM have been observed in European countries such as Belgium, Denmark, Italy, Portugal, Switzerland, and Germany.<sup>11,12</sup> In the developing world, most available data on MSM come from Latin America and South Asia. There are very little HIV incidence or prevalence data on MSM in Sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East. From the global data that are available, estimates indicate that HIV prevalence in MSM varies widely by country and region—from 0% in the Middle East to 36.5% in Latin America.<sup>3,13,14</sup>

# What Puts MSM at Risk?

The rising rates of infection in MSM in developed and developing countries can be attributed to a complex set of biological, behavioral, and socio-cultural factors that may place MSM at increased risk for acquiring and transmitting HIV.

#### **Biological Factors**

While there is no evidence that MSM are biologically more susceptible to HIV infection than others, there are biological factors associated with male-to-male sexual behavior—in particular, anal intercourse—that do increase individuals' risk.  Both vaginal and anal intercourse have been shown to be efficient routes for HIV transmission, as the epithelium of both tracts has receptors that easily bind to HIV. However, compared to the vagina, rectal tissue is much more vulnerable to tearing during intercourse and the larger surface area of the rectum/ colon provides more opportunity for viral penetration and infection.

For these reasons, unprotected receptive anal intercourse is believed to be at least 10 times more risky than unprotected receptive vaginal intercourse for acquiring HIV.<sup>15-17</sup>

 The presence of genital ulcer disease (GUD)—most notably herpes simplex virus-2 (HSV-2), primary syphilis, and chancroid also facilitates HIV acquisition. While MSM populations are not biologically predisposed to sexually transmitted infections (STI), many men—and the providers to whom they go for care—do not think to screen for STIs that present rectally, resulting in infections that go undiagnosed and untreated. For these reasons, some STIs are quite prevalent in MSM populations, thereby contributing to increased risk of HIV acquisition.<sup>18-21</sup>

#### **Behavioral Factors**

Several behavioral risk factors can also increase the vulnerability of MSM to HIV infection.

- Specific sexual acts in the repertoire of MSM confer risk of HIV infection. In descending order of risk, these include unprotected receptive anal intercourse, unprotected insertive anal intercourse, and oral sex.<sup>22-25</sup>
- Multiple sex partners, inconsistent condom use, lack of knowledge about HIV risk, and negative or complacent attitudes toward safer sex have also been shown to be factors associated with increased risk of HIV infection.<sup>26-32</sup>

- The prevalence of alcohol and drug use in MSM is also quite high, which in turn can increase the risk for acquiring HIV.<sup>33</sup> Several studies link alcohol and drug use (particularly methamphetamine) to higher rates of unprotected anal intercourse, higher numbers of sex partners, and inconsistent condom use.<sup>382</sup>
- Depression in MSM has been linked to increases in risky behaviors such as unprotected anal intercourse, drug and alcohol use, inconsistent condom use, and multiple sexual partnerships.<sup>26,43,44</sup>
- Some studies have found that MSM, particularly young MSM, who have a history of childhood sexual abuse are more likely to engage in high-risk behaviors, such as unprotected anal intercourse, substance abuse, and exchanging sex for money or drugs. These studies also found that MSM with a history of childhood sexual abuse are more likely to report being HIV positive and to have experienced relationship violence.<sup>45-47</sup>
- For many MSM, the Internet (e.g., through MSM personal ads and chat rooms) offers a wider pool of men available for sexual liaisons, often on short notice. But these expeditious partnerships may also bring increased risk of HIV infection.<sup>48</sup>

Some studies have found that MSM who use the Internet to find sex partners are more likely than other men to report an STI and are more likely to engage in risky sexual behavior.<sup>49-52</sup>

#### Socio-Cultural Factors

Socio-cultural factors, such as perceptions and experiences of stigma and discrimination, homophobia, racism, and internalized oppression, may also lead to increased risk of HIV infection in MSM.

- Several studies indicate that these factors may play a significant role in increasing the risk of drug use before or during sexual encounters, unprotected insertive/receptive anal sex, multiple sexual partnerships, and inconsistent condom use.<sup>53-55</sup>
- Stigma associated with acknowledging homosexual or bisexual activity may inhibit many MSM from identifying as such,<sup>56</sup> potentially leading to denial of their own risk and alienation from prevention programs that target self-identified gay/bisexual populations.
- While race/ethnicity itself is not a risk factor for HIV infection, social and economic factors—such as higher rates of poverty, unemployment, and lack of health care access that are often more prevalent in communities of color may be associated with risk behaviors that facilitate HIV infection and with reduced access to testing, prevention, and treatment services.<sup>57,58</sup> This is supported by a recent review that indicates black MSM are more likely than other MSM to contract STIs that facilitate the acquisition and transmission of HIV and are also less likely than other MSM to be tested for HIV or to know their HIV status.<sup>59</sup>
- Optimism about the availability and efficacy of new HIV therapies has been associated with sexual risk behavior in young MSM. This optimism may either reduce individuals' concerns about becoming infected (thereby facilitating risk behavior)<sup>60</sup> or may be a post hoc rationalization after risky sex has occurred.<sup>61</sup>

# HIV Prevention Interventions For MSM

Since the beginning of the HIV/AIDS epidemic, individual-level, small group, and communitylevel behavioral prevention interventions targeting at-risk MSM have been effective in changing risk behaviors that facilitate HIV transmission and acquisition.<sup>62-69</sup>

- A recent review of 54 behavioral interventions for MSM in the United States found that 38 of these interventions resulted in a 27% reduction in the number of unprotected sex acts, and the remaining 16 interventions reduced unprotected sex by 17%.<sup>69</sup>
- Another review of 33 behavioral interventions for MSM conducted globally showed that HIV prevention efforts were successful in reducing the number of sex partners, reducing unprotected anal intercourse by 23%, and increasing condom use by 61%. Successful interventions incorporated interpersonal skills-building, utilized several delivery methods, and were delivered over multiple sessions.<sup>62</sup>
- Because individual-level interventions often cannot address the social factors that contribute to HIV risk-taking, community-level interventions have been advocated as an important strategy for HIV prevention.<sup>70</sup> Two effective models that have been widely replicated involve mobilizing young gay/bisexual men to shape a healthy community for themselves and to encourage their friends to have safer sex, and using popular opinion leaders in gay/bisexual communities to change norms around sexual behaviors. In communities where these interventions have been implemented, rates of unprotected anal sex decreased, condom use increased, and overall numbers of sex partners decreased.65,71 These HIV prevention interventions also have been shown to be cost-effective.72,73

In addition to behavioral interventions, a few promising biomedical approaches are being tested for prevention of sexual transmission of HIV in MSM. Two such approaches are the treatment of HSV-2 infection among HIV-negative MSM to reduce risk of HIV acquisition, and the use of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), which tests the safety and efficacy of antiretroviral drugs to prevent the establishment of HIV infection if a person is exposed through sexual contact. Clinical trials of these two biomedical interventions are currently under way, with results expected by 2007. Additionally, there are continued efforts to develop safe and effective topical microbicides (that could be used rectally)<sup>16,74</sup> and vaccines that may be helpful in preventing HIV infection in this population.

# **Barriers to Reaching MSM**

Despite accomplishments in modifying risky behavior and reducing HIV infections in some MSM populations, many intervention efforts may be undermined by specific policies that contribute to stigma and discrimination against this group.

For example, U.S. government programs that promote abstinence-only-until-marriage as an HIV prevention strategy implicitly and explicitly condemn or deny the existence and sexual rights of gay, bisexual, and transgendered people.75 Moreover, educational curricula supported through these programs in many cases convey medically inaccurate information about STIs and HIV infection. In fact, they are prohibited by law from providing information about the significant effectiveness of male condoms for HIV prevention, and instead must emphasize their failure rates.<sup>76</sup> This may have the deleterious effect of discouraging condom use, which in turn could increase the risk of HIV infection in MSM.

# Conclusion

There is a great deal of scientific evidence supporting the effectiveness of HIV prevention interventions for MSM. Despite this body of research, recent increases in HIV diagnoses in MSM, both domestically and internationally, indicate that prevention efforts have not been scaled up and intensified sufficiently to curb the spread of HIV infection in this population. Difficulties in collecting accurate data on HIV infection in MSM, particularly in developing countries, confusion about the definition of MSM, and ongoing stigma and discrimination against gay, bisexual, and transgendered people remain significant barriers to implementing effective interventions on a global level.

In order to mitigate the HIV epidemic in MSM, both domestically and globally, adequate resources must be dedicated to improving accurate data collection, addressing the sociocultural factors that contribute to MSM risk behavior, and implementing evidence-based behavioral, biomedical, and social interventions that address growing rates of HIV infection in multiple settings.

## References

1. Fee E, Brown TM. Michael S. Gottlieb and the identification of AIDS. Am J Public Health 2006;96(6):982-3. 2. Catania JA et al. The continuing HIV epidemic among men who have sex with men. Am J Public Health 2001;91(6):907-14. 3. Cáceres CF et al. Review of HIV Prevalence and the Epidemiology of Preventive and Bridging Behaviour among MSM in Low and Middle-Income Countries. Unpublished Draft, 2005 4. Monitoring the AIDS Pandemic Network: Male-Male Sex and HIV/AIDS in Asia. FHI, UNAIDS, USAID. 2005 5. Jenkins R. Conceptualizing MSM and Male Sexualities in South East Asia. HIV Prevention, Care, and Treatment for Men Who Have Sex With Men in Viet Nam, 2005. http://www.unaids.org.vn/ event/docs05/msmsep05\_conceptualizing\_MSM&Male\_Sexualities\_in\_SE\_Asia\_Jenkins.pdf 6. Dowsett GW. Some considerations on sexuality and gender in the context of AIDS. *Reprod Health Matters* 2003;11(22):21-9. **7.** Gender Education and Advocacy Gender Variance: A Primer. Accessed: May 15 2006 http://www.gender.org/resources/dge/gea01004.pdf 8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention HIV/AIDS Surveillance Report. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2003 9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention HIV/AIDS Surveillance Report 2004. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2005 10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Trends in HIV/AIDS Diagnoses --- 33 States, 2001-2004. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. November 18 2005 11. UNAIDS AIDS Epidemic Update. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS and World Health Organization. December 2005 **12.** Giuliani M et al. Increased HIV incidence among men who have sex with men in Rome. *AIDS* 2005;19(13):1429-31. **13.** UNAIDS Men Who Have Sex With Men, HIV Prevention and Care. UNAIDS. November 10-11 2005 **14.** van Griensvern F et al. Evidence of a previously undocumented epidemic of HIV infection among men who have sex with men in Bangkok, Thailand. AIDS 2005;19(5): 521-6. 15. Shattock RJ, Moore JP. Inhibiting sexual transmission of HIV-1 infection. Nat Rev Microbiol 2003;1(1):25-34. 16. Roehr B, Gross M, Mayer K. Creating a Research and Development Agenda for Microbicides that Protect Against HIV Infection. amfAR, The Foundation for AIDS Research. June 7-8 2001 17. King County Public Health Department HIV Infogram: Information Update on HIV/AIDS and Sexually Transmitted Diseases. Accessed: May 17 2006 http://www.metrokc.gov/ health/apu/infograms/hiv\_transmission\_0302.pdf 18. Renzi C et al. Herpes simplex virus type 2 infection as a risk factor for human immunodeficiency virus acquisition in men who have sex with men. J Infect Dis 2003;187(1):19-25. 19. Tabet SR et al. Incidence of HIV and sexually transmitted diseases (STD) in a cohort of HIV-negative men who have sex with men (MSM). AIDS 1998;12(15):2041-8. 20. Page-Shafer K et al. Sexual risk behavior and risk factors for HIV-1 seroconversion in homosexual men participating in the Tricontinental Seroconverter Study, 1982-1994. Am J Epidemiol 1997;146(7):531-42. 21. Wasserheit JN. Epidemiological synergy. Interrelationships between human immunodeficiency virus infection and other sexually transmitted diseases. Sex Transm Dis 1992;19(2):61-77. 22. Vittinghoff E et al. Per-contact risk of human immunodeficiency virus transmission between male sexual partners. Am J Epidemiol 1999;150(3):306-11. 23. High-risk sexual behavior by HIV-positive men who have sex with men--16 sites, United States, 2000-2002. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2004;53(38):891-4 24. Keet IP et al. Orogenital sex and the transmission of HIV among homosexual men. AIDS 1992;6(2):223-6. 25. Page-Shafer K et al. Risk of HIV infection attributable to oral sex among men who have sex with men and in the population of men who have sex with men. AIDS 2002;16(17) :2350-2. 26. Koblin BA et al. Risk factors for HIV infection among men who have sex with men. AIDS 2006;20(5):731-9. 27. Kelly JA et al. HIV risk characteristics and prevention needs in a community sample of bisexual men in St. Petersburg, Russia. AIDS Care 2002;14(1):63-76. 28. Lau JT et al. HIV related behaviours and attitudes among Chinese men who have sex with men in Hong Kong: a population based study. Sex Transm Infect 2004;80(6): 459-65. 29. Colby D et al. Men who have sex with men and HIV in Vietnam: a review. AIDS Educ Prev 2004;16(1):45-54. 30. Colby DJ. HIV knowledge and risk factors among men who have sex with men in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2003;32(1):80-5. 31. Dandona L et al. Sex behaviour of men who have sex with men and risk of HIV in Andhra Pradesh, India. AIDS 2005;19(6):611-9. 32. Mansergh G et al. "Barebacking" in a diverse sample of men who have sex with men. AIDS 2002;16(4):653-9. 33. Stall R et al. Alcohol use, drug use and alcohol-related problems among men who have sex with men: The Urban Men's Health Study. Addiction 2001;96(11):1589-601. 34. Colfax GN et al. Drug use and sexual risk behavior among gay and bisexual men who attend circuit parties: a venue-based comparison. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2001;28(4):373-9. **35.** Greenwood GL et al. Correlates of heavy substance use among young gay and bisexual men: The San Francisco Young Men's Health Study. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2001;61(2):105-12. **36.** Coates TJ et al. Behavioral factors in the spread of HIV infection. *AIDS* 1988;2 Suppl 1:S239-46. 37. Koblin BA, et al. High-risk behaviors among men who have sex with men in 6 US cities: baseline data from the EXPLORE Study. Am J Public Health 2003;93(6):926-32. 38. Mansergh G. et al. The Circuit Party Men's Health Survey: findings and implications for gay and bisexual men. Am J Public Health 2001;91 (6):953-8. 39. Mansergh G et al. Methamphetamine and sildenafil (Viagra) use are linked to unprotected receptive and insertive anal sex, respectively, in a sample of men who have sex with men. Sex Transm Infect 2006;82(2):131-4. 40. Morin SF et al. Predicting HIV transmission risk among HIV-infected men who have sex with men: findings from the healthy living project. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2005;40(2):226-35. **41.** Colfax G et al. Longitudinal patterns of methamphetamine, popper (amyl nitrite), and cocaine use and high-risk sexual behavior among a cohort of San Francisco men who have sex with men. J Urban Health 2005;82(1 Suppl 1):i62-70. 42. Buchacz K et al. Amphetamine use is associated with increased HIV incidence among men who have sex with men in San Francisco. AIDS 2005;19(13):1423-4. 43. Perdue T et al. Depression and HIV risk behavior among Seattle-area injection drug users and young men who have sex with men. AIDS Educ Prev 2003;15(1):81-92. 44. Strathdee SA et al. Determinants of sexual risk-taking among young HIV-negative gay and bisexual men. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1998;19 (1):61-6. 45. Kalichman SC et al. Trauma symptoms, sexual behaviors, and substance abuse: correlates of childhood sexual abuse and HIV risks among men who have sex with men. J Child Sex Abus 2004;13(1):1-15. 46. Paul JP et al. Understanding childhood sexual abuse as a predictor of sexual risk-taking among men who have sex with men: The Urban Men's Health Study. Child Abuse Negl 2001;25(4): 557-84. 47. Saewyc E et al. Sexual Orientation, Sexual Abuse, and HIV-Risk Behaviors Among Adolescents in the Pacific Northwest. Am J Public Health 2006;96(6):1104-10. 48. Bull SS, McFarlane M. Soliciting sex on the Internet: what are the risks for sexually transmitted diseases and HIV? Sex Transm Dis 2000;27 (9):545-50. 49. McFarlane M, Bull SS, Rietmeijer CA. The Internet as a newly emerging risk environment for sexually transmitted diseases. JAMA 2000;284(4):443-6. 50. Rietmeijer CA et al. Risks and benefits of the internet for populations at risk for sexually transmitted infections (STIs): results of an STI clinic survey. Sex Transm Dis 2003;30(1):15-9. 51. Bolding G et al. Gay men who look for sex on the Internet: is there more HIV/STI risk with online partners? AIDS 2005; 19(9):961-8. 52. Liau A, Millett G, Marks G. Meta-analytic Examination of Online Sex-Seeking and Sexual Risk Behavior Among Men Who Have Sex With Men. Sex Transm Dis 2006; Publish Ahead of Print. 53. Ortiz Hernandez L, Garcia Torres MI. Internalized oppression and high-risk sexual practices among homosexual and bisexual males, Mexico. Rev Saude Publica 2005;39 (6):956-64. 54. Diaz RM, Ayala G, Marin BV. Latino gay men and HIV: risk behavior as a sign of oppression. Focus 2000;15(7):1-5. 55. Diaz RM, Ayala G, Bein E. Sexual risk as an outcome of social oppression: data from a probability sample of Latino gay men in three U.S. cities. Cultur Divers Ethnic Minor Psychol 2004; 10(3):255-67. 56. Doll LS, Beeker C. Male bisexual behavior and HIV risk in the United States: synthesis of research with implications for behavioral interventions. AIDS Educ Prev 1996;8(3):205-25. 57. National Commission on AIDS. The challenge of HIV/AIDS in communities of color. Washington, DC, 1992. 58. Blair JM, Fleming PL, Karon JM. Trends in AIDS incidence and survival among racial/ethnic minority men who have sex with men, United States, 1990-1999. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2002;31(3):339-47. 59. Millett GA et al. Greater Risk for HIV Infection of Black Men Who Have Sex With Men: A Critical Literature Review. Am J Public Health 2006;96(6):1007-19. 60. Van de Ven P et al. HIV treatments, optimism and sexual behaviour among gay men in Sydney and Melbourne. AIDS 1999;13(16):2289-94. 61. Huebner DM, Rebchook GM, Kegeles SM. A longitudinal study of the association between treatment optimism and sexual risk behavior in young adult gay and bisexual men. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2004;37 (4):1514-9. 62. Herbst JH et al. A Meta-Analytic Review of HIV Behavioral Interventions for Reducing Sexual Risk Behavior of Men Who Have Sex With Men. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2005;39(2):228-241. 63. Valdiserri RO et al. AIDS prevention in homosexual and bisexual men: results of a randomized trial evaluating two risk reduction interventions. AIDS 1989;3 (1):21-6. 64. Kegeles SM, Hart GJ. Recent HIV-prevention interventions for gay men: individual, small-group and community-based studies. AIDS 1998;12 Suppl A.S209-15. 65. Kegeles SM, Hays RB, Coates TJ. The Mpowerment Project: a community-level HIV prevention intervention for young gay men. Am J Public Health 1996;86(8 Pt 1):1129-36. 66. Kelly JA et al. Behavioral intervention to reduce AIDS risk activities. J Consult Clin Psychol 1989;57 (1):60-7. **67.** Kelly JA et al. HIV risk behavior reduction following intervention with key opinion leaders of population: an experimental analysis. *Am J Public Health* 1991;81(2):168-71. **68.** Koblin B, Chesney M, Coates T. Effects of a behavioural intervention to reduce acquisition of HIV infection among men who have sex with men: the EXPLORE randomised controlled study. The Lancet 2004;364(9428):41-50. 69. Johnson WD et al. HIV intervention research for men who have sex with men: a 7-year update. AIDS Educ Prev 2005;17(6):568-89. 70. Coates TJ, Greenblatt RM. Behavior change using interventions at a community level. Sexually Transmitted Diseases. New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc., 1988;1075-1080. 71. Kelly JA. Randomised, controlled, community-level HIV-prevention intervention for sexual-risk behavior among homosexual men in US cities. The Lancet 1997;350. 72. Pinkerton SD et al. Cost-effectiveness of a community-level HIV risk reduction intervention. Am J Public Health 1998;88 (8):1239-42. 73. Pinkerton SD, Holtgrave DR, Valdiserri RO. Cost-effectiveness of HIV-prevention skills training for men who have sex with men. AIDS 1997; 11(3):347-57. 74. Feuer C. Rectal Microbicides: Investments and Advocacy. International Rectal Microbicides Working Group. April 2006 75. Santelli J et al. Abstinence and abstinence-only education: a review of U.S. policies and programs. J Adolesc Health 2006;38(1):72-81. 76. United States Government Reform Committee Minority Staff. The Content of Federally Funded Abstinence-Only Education Programs: Prepared for Rep. Henry A. Waxman. U.S House of Representatives. December 2004.



www.amfar.org

#### **Public Policy Office**

1150 17th Street NW Suite 406 Washington, DC 20036-4622 Tel: 202-331-8600 Fax: 202-331-8606

Judith Auerbach, Ph.D. Vice President, Public Policy and Program Development

Monica S. Ruiz, Ph.D., M.P.H. Deputy Director, Public Policy

Emily P. Byram, M.P.H. Legislative Analyst

Sonia M. Kandathil, M.P.H. Research and Program Analyst

#### **New York Office**

120 Wall Street, 13th Floor New York, NY 10005-3908 Tel: 212-806-1600 Fax: 212-806-1601